Forests and Forest Products Journal 5:7-18 © 2012, Forest and Forest Products Society



Abstract

The study was conducted to identify the medicinal values of some selected animal species in villages around some Nigeria National Parks. Interview was carried out in forty (40) villages around the Parks to determine the animals being utilized by the villagers, the various uses of the animals and the parts used for the various purposes. Four hundred (400) people were interviewed altogether in the villages. The data gathered were summarized in tables. The animals being used for medicinal purposes in the study sites belong to 3 classes, 14 orders, 27 families and 40 species. The animal species were being used in curing diseases such as pile, cough, epilepsy, hemorrhage, rheumatism and cancer. The study concluded that the use of wild animals as alternative therapy is still common in the rural area. There is need to adequately promote the sustainable use of these wild animals so that the species will not be driven to the point of extinction due to over exploitation.

Keywords: Wild animals; Alternative therapy; Nigeria National Park; Support Zone Communities.

Introduction

The earliest record of using plants for healthcare by Chinese people can be traced back to as early as 2700 B.C. However, the medical properties of animals were first identified some two thousand years ago where 65 types of animals were described (Bai, 1988). Animals and products derived from different organs of their bodies have constituted part of the inventory of medicinal substances used in various cultures since ancient times; such uses still exist in traditional medicine. The healing of human ailments by using therapeutics based on medicines obtained from animals or ultimately derived from them is known as zoo-therapy. All human culture which presents a structured medical system will utilize animals as medicines (Marques, 1994). The phenomenon of zoo-therapy is marked both by a broad geographical distribution and very deep historical origins (Ogboh, 2010). In modern societies; zoo-therapy constitutes an important alternative among many other known therapies practiced worldwide. Wild and domestic animals and their by-products (e.g., hooves, skins, bones, feathers, and tusks) form important ingredients in the preparation of curative, protective and preventive medicine. For example, in Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM), more than 1500 animal species have been recorded to be of some medicinal use. In India nearly 15–20 percent of the Ayurvedic medicine is based on animalderived substances (Song, 1994). In Bahia State, in the northeast of Brazil, over 180 medicinal animals have been recorded (Still, 2003). However, little information is available after the survey regarding demand and supply of wildlife items for medicinal use.

Researchers in Australia, Japan, and the United States have found numerous medical uses for compounds extracted from the secretions of frogs, such as a non-addictive painkiller 200 times more powerful than morphine, antibiotics, a possible treatment for schizophrenia, and natural glue that could replace stitches after surgery. The natural world is indeed a potent medicine chest (Guo *et al.*, 1997). Whole bodies, parts and derivatives like organs, tissues, secretions, faeces, pathological substances, etc. are the main items used (Guo *et al.*, 1997). Most of our biological and medicinal advancement are built on the

knowledge derived from nature-various parts of animals such as faeces, bones, liver and others used in curing one ailment or another and to perform in witchcraft (Ajayi, 1973). Of the 252 essential chemicals that have been selected by the World Health Organization, 11.1% come from plants, and 8.7% from animals. Out of the 150 prescription drugs currently in use in the United States of America, 27 have animal origin (Alves and Rosa, 2005). The numerous by-products of wild animals such as skin, feathers, beaks, horns, skull, bones, eyes, spines, eggs etc are used for different purposes in Nigeria, Ajayi, 1973 gave a comprehensive list of the various utilization of the African forest wildlife for traditional medicine and witchcraft. Even though, many homes are adorned with horns, bird feathers and ivory as decoration, a large number of these by-products are used for sorcery, witchcraft and divination (Ajayi, 1973; Onadeko et al., 1989). Worldwide renewed interest in traditional medicine derives from the realization that modern or orthodox medicine is not widespread in poor countries whereas health care has virtually been sustained by these cultural alternatives (Okujagu, 2005). Also, the growing public interest and awareness of natural medicines have led the pharmaceutical industry and academic researchers to pay more attention to medicinal plants (Day, 1998). It is important not only to document the traditional uses of animal species, but also to integrate the cultural and biological aspects of such practices into broader discourse encompassing a conservation, cooperative management, and sustainability (Alves and Rosa, 2005).

Materials and Methods

The study area

The Nigeria National Parks are ecologically and culturally important areas where human habitation is largely disallowed and tourism is encouraged. Presently in Nigeria, there are seven (7) National Parks in different biogeographic zones of the country. These are Chad Basin, Cross River, Gashaka Gumti, Kainji Lake, Kamuku, Old Oyo and Okomu National Parks. Together the national parks cover about 22,592 km², which is about 2.5% of the country's land area of 923,768.64 km². The study was conducted in Cross River (CRNP), Gashaka-Gumti (GGNP), Kainji Lake (KLNP) and Old Oyo National Parks (OONP) (Osunsina, 2010).

Cross River National Park (CRNP) is located between latitudes 5° 05' and 6° 29'N, and longitudes 8° 15 and 9° 30'E, in the extreme South-eastern part of Nigeria, Cross River State. The Park covers a total land area of 4,000 km², in a location mainly made up of moist tropical primary rainforest ecosystem in the north and central parts of Nigeria's last Great Rainforest Reserve, and mangrove swamps on the coastal zones. Along with Koroup National Park in the Republic of Cameroon, Cross River National Park is an important biotic reserve which contains one of the oldest rainforests in Africa. It is also one of the 25 United Nations acclaimed biodiversity hot spots in the World.

Gashaka-Gumti National Park is the largest and most scenic of all the seven National Parks in Nigeria. It covers a total area of 6,731 km², located in the North-eastern part of the country. Geographically, the Park lies between latitudes 6° 55 and 8° 00 N, and within longitudes 11° 11 and 12° 13 E at a location between Adamawa and Taraba States, with adjoining spectacular, temperate-like Mambilla Plateau. Gashaka-Gumti has five distinct ecological zones ranging from Shrub Savanna to Sudan Guinea Savanna; fringing lowland rainforest, to montane forest and grassland habitats.

Kainji Lake National Park (KLNP) was established in 1979 by the merger of the two former Game Reserves – Borgu Game Reserve (located between Niger and Kwara States) and the Zugurma Game Reserve (located in Niger State). It was the first National Park and the second largest of all the seven National Parks in Nigeria with land area of 5,340.83 km². The Park lies between latitude 9 ° 40° and 10° 30° N and longitude 3° 30° and 5° 50° E (UNEP and WCMC, 2003) and it has savanna vegetation.

The Old Oyo National Park is located in Oyo state, South-west Nigeria, with an area of 2,512 km². It is about 120km long from the southwest to northeast and about 50km at its widest in the south. It lies between latitudes 8° 10 and 9° 05 N, and longitudes 3° 00 and 4° 02 E. The entire Park falls within the Southern Guinea Savanna.



Fig 1: Map showing Cross River National Park Fig 3: Map showing Kainji Lake National Park





Fig 2: Map showing Gashaka-Gumti National Park



Fig 4: Map showing Old Oyo National Park

DataCollection

Interview was carried out in forty (40) villages to determine the wild animals being utilized by the villagers (10 villages randomly selected from each Park base on their closeness to the Park boundary). The villages include: CRNP - Mba, Bashu, Obung, Ojoor, Anape, Kejiuku, Okwa 1, Okwa 2, Okwango, Osomba: GGNP - Mayo Bakari, Bam, Mayo Gbaggbag, Nasamu, Daga, Maiidanu, Lagaso, Mayo Birim, Mayo Sangnare, Mayo Butali; KLNP Ibbi, Patiko 1, Patiko 2, Audu fari, Mulea, Aguan kaya, Kuble, Faje, Kamenji, Tenebo; OONP - Ibudo Baruba, Aloba, Yarima, Gida Olalere, Lasi, Tede, Budo Sango, Alakuko, Oke Owu, Bamgbose. The various uses of the wild animal and their parts used for the said purposes were recorded. Ten (10) people (traditional medical practitioners, hunters and farmers) were interviewed in each village with a total of 400 respondents from all the villages. The Table 1: Demographic characteristics of respondents data gathered were summarized using descriptive statistics.

Results

In-depth analyses of the respondent's sociocultural and economic activities revealed that there were more males than the females in the parks . In CRNP 74.0% of the respondents were male, 96.0 % in GGNP, 94.0% in KLNP and 77.0% in OONP. Most of the respondents were in the age group 31 - 40 in all the parks and it is slightly higher in GGNP (48.0%) and CRNP (37.0 %) while it is lower in OONP (28.0%) and KLNP (30.0%). The age distribution revealed a pattern of decrease in the number of population as the age increases in CRNP GGNP and OONP, while there is a slight variation in KLNP. The respondents were mostly married in CRNP (79.0%), GGNP (64.0 %), KLNP (73.0%) and OONP (68.0%) (Table 1).

		CRNP	GGNP	KLNP	OONP
Sex	Male	74 (74.0)	96 (96.0)	94 (94.0)	77 (77.0)
	Female	26 (26.0)	04 (4.0)	6 (6.0)	23 (23.0)
Age	20-30	24 (24.0)	32 (32.0)	22 (22.0)	18 (18.0)
	31-40	37 (37.0)	48 (48.0)	30 (28.0)	28 (28.0)
	41-50	27 (27.0)	11 (11.0)	28 (28.0)	20 (20.0)
	51-60	10 (10.0)	5 (5.0)	15 (15.0)	20 (20.0)
	61 and above	2 (2.0)	4 (4.0)	5 (5.0)	14 (14.0)
Religion	Christianity	98 (98.0)	6 (6.0)	16 (16.0)	54 (54.0)
	Islam	0 (0)	88 (88.0)	81(81.0)	45 (45.0)
	Traditional	2 (2.0)	6 (6.0)	3 (3.0)	15 (15.0)
Marital Status	Single	17 (17.0)	33 (33.0)	26 (26.0)	29 (29.0)
	Married	79 (79.0)	64 (64.0)	73 (73.0)	68 (68.0)
	Divorced	1 (1.0)	1 (1.0)	0 (0)	1 (1.0)
	Widow	3 (3.0)	2 (2.0)	2 (2.0)	2 (2.0)
Education	No formal	39 (39.0)	53 (53.0)	59 (59.0)	54 (54.0)
	education				
	Islamic	0 (0.0)	10 (10.0)	17 (17.0)	6 (6.0)
	Primary	34 (34.0)	25 (25.0)	15 (15.0)	18 (18.0)
	Secondary	25 (25.0)	12 (12.0)	6 (6.0)	18 (18.0)
	Tertiary	2 (2.0)	0 (0)	3 (3.00)	4 (4.0)
Occupation	Farming	93 (93.0)	91 (91.0)	81 (81.0)	71 (71.0)
	Hunting	3 (3.0)	5 (5.0)	11 (11.0)	15 (15.0)
	Herbalist	3 (3.0)	4 (4.0)	8 (8.0)	10 (10.0)
	Others	1 (1.0)	0 (0.0)	0(0.0)	4 (4.0)

Figures in Parenthesis are Percentage

Osunsina I.O.O., Inah E.I., Onadeko S.A., Adegbite D.A., Ogunjinmi A.A. and Jayeola O.A./For. & For. Prod. J, 5:7-18

Almost all the respondents in CRNP were Christians (98.0 %) and traditional worshippers (2.0 %). In GGNP and KLNP majority of the respondents were Muslims (88.0 % and 81.0 % respectively) but with fewer number of Christians (6.0 % and 16.0 % respectively). In OONP 54.0 % were Christians, Muslims (44.0 %) and traditional worshippers (15. %). In terms of educational qualification all the parks have higher percentages of respondents without formal education. CRNP recorded 39.0 % of respondent without formal education, 34.0 % had primary education, 25.0% had secondary education while 2.0 % had tertiary education. In GGNP 53.0 % of respondents had no formal education, 25.0% had primary education, 12.0% secondary education, 10.0 % Islamic education while no respondents had In KLNP, 59.0% of tertiary education. respondents had no formal education, 17.0% had Islamic education, 15.0% had primary education, 6.0 % had secondary education and 3.0% had tertiary education. 54.0 % had no formal education in OONP, 18.0% had primary education, 18.0% had secondary education 6.0 % had Islamic education while 4.0 % had tertiary

Table 2: Source and Availability of Animals

education. The Primary occupation of the villagers living around the national parks is farming. Almost all the respondents were farmers in CRNP (93.0 %) and GGNP (91.0%), while 81.0% and 71.0 % were farmers in KLNP and OONP.

Most of the respondent living around the parks agreed that most of the animals used were sourced from the park (CRNP (54.0), GGNP (45.0), KLNP (47.0) and OONP (43.0). In terms of animal sightings, majority of the respondents in GGNP, KLNP and OONP indicated that more animals were sighted in the past years than now (51.0%, 70.0% and 80.0% respectively) while respondents in CRNP indicated that more animals were sighted presently than in the past. Fifty two percent (52.0%) and forty one percent 41.0% of the respondents in CRNP and GGNP indicated that the causes of decrease in animal population was due to the increase in human population while 45.0% and 40.0% of the respondents in KLNP and OONP were of the opinion that hunting pressure was the major factor causing decrease in animal population (Table 2)

CRNP	GGNP	KLNP	OONP
54 (54.0)	45 (45.0)	47 (47.0)	43 (43.0)
30 (30.0)	40 (40.0)	42. (42.0)	28 (28.0)
16 (16.0)	15 (15.0)	11 (11.0)	29 (29.0)
of wild animal			
40 (40.0)	51 (51.0)	70 (70.0)	80 (80.0)
58 (58.0)	45 (45.0)	29 (29.0)	15 (15.0)
2 (2.0)	4 (4.0)	1 (1.0)	5 (5.0)
population			
15 (15.0)	21 (21.0)	45 (45.0)	40 (40.0)
52 (52.0)	41 (41.0)	21 (21.0)	21 (21.0)
9 (9.0)	14 (14.0)	2 (2.0)	4 (4.0)
14 (14.0)	8 (8.0)	10 (10.0)	14 (14.0)
10 (10.0)	16 (16.0)	22 (22.0)	21 (21.0)
	CRNP 54 (54.0) 30 (30.0) 16 (16.0) of wild animal 40 (40.0) 58 (58.0) 2 (2.0) population 15 (15.0) 52 (52.0) 9 (9.0) 14 (14.0) 10 (10.0)	CRNP GGNP 54 (54.0) 45 (45.0) 30 (30.0) 40 (40.0) 16 (16.0) 15 (15.0) of wild animal 40 (40.0) 40 (40.0) 51 (51.0) 58 (58.0) 45 (45.0) 2 (2.0) 4 (4.0) population 15 (15.0) 15 (15.0) 21 (21.0) 52 (52.0) 41 (41.0) 9 (9.0) 14 (14.0) 14 (14.0) 8 (8.0) 10 (10.0) 16 (16.0)	CRNPGGNPKLNP $54 (54.0)$ $45 (45.0)$ $47 (47.0)$ $30 (30.0)$ $40 (40.0)$ $42. (42.0)$ $16 (16.0)$ $15 (15.0)$ $11 (11.0)$ <i>f wild animal</i> $40 (40.0)$ $51 (51.0)$ $40 (40.0)$ $51 (51.0)$ $70 (70.0)$ $58 (58.0)$ $45 (45.0)$ $29 (29.0)$ $2 (2.0)$ $4 (4.0)$ $1 (1.0)$ <i>population</i> $15 (15.0)$ $21 (21.0)$ $45 (45.0)$ $21 (21.0)$ $45 (45.0)$ $52 (52.0)$ $41 (41.0)$ $2 (2.0)$ $14 (14.0)$ $8 (8.0)$ $10 (10.0)$ $10 (10.0)$ $16 (16.0)$ $22 (22.0)$

Figures in Parenthesis are Percentage

The various species of the wild animals used for medicinal purposes in the study area is presented in Table 3 (CRNP), Table 4 (GGNP) Table 5 (KLNP) and Table 6 (OONP). There were 42 species animals belonging to three (3) classes (mammalian, reptilian and avian), 14 orders and 28 families. Mammals were the most utilized among the animals with 29 different species being Osunsina I.O.O., Inah E.I., Onadeko S.A., Adegbite D.A., Ogunjinmi A.A. and Jayeola O.A./For. & For. Prod. J, 5:7-18

used for one medicinal purpose or the other. The Bovidae family was the most widely used family with 6 species which include Cephalaphus rufilatus (Red flanked duiker), Tregalaphus scriptus (Bush buck), Kobus defassa (Water buck). Acelaphus buselaphus (hartebeest), Hippotragus equinus (Roan antelope), Syncerus caffer (Buffalo) in use. The mostly used species of reptiles were Python sebae (python) and Veranus niloticus (monitor lizards). The avian class was the least utilized wild animals in the study sites with 4 species in use. In CRNP basically nine major species of animals were extensively used in traditional medicine. These include Loxodonta cyclotis (forest elephant), Python sebae (python), and Cephalaphus rufilatus (red flanked duiker) Table 4. Elephant bones were used for strength, treatment of hemorrhage and cure for cancer. while python fat was extensively used as cure for rheumatism and treatment of broken bones. In GGNP, 23 species of wild animals were used for traditional medicines these include Python sebae (python), Syncerus caffer (buffalo), Crocuta crocuta (hyena), Crocodilus niloticus (crocodile), Hippopotamus amphibius (hippopotamus) and Kobus defassa (water buck). Fat of python used for cure of rheumatism and pneumonia, buffalo used for cure of ear ache, hyena used for curing children's bedwetting and to dispel evil spirit, crocodile used for protection of children from polio, civet cat used to treat spells, hippopotamus used for cure of cancer and body irritation and water buck used for safe delivery in child birth (Table 4).

The villagers around KLNP used 24 species of wild animals for their traditional Table 2: The medicinel uses of Wildlife in Cross Pi

medicine these include Hippopotamus amphibius (hippopotamus), Lepus capensis (hare), Veranus niloticus (monitor lizards), Orycteropus afer (aardvark). Lion fat and bones were being used for cure of rheumatism, fraction and dislocation, hippopotamus used for treatment of delayed child birth, hare head used for treatment of difficulty in breathing, aardvark meat used for prolong child birth, monitor lizard used for treatment of measles in children, roan antelope meat used for healthiness and ground squirrel used for spiritual protection (Table 5). Twenty one (21) animal species were used in traditional medicine in OONP, commonly used animals were Hippotragus equines (Roan antelope), Orycteropus afer (aardvark), Potamochoerus porcus (red river hog), Phacochoerus aethiopicus (Warthog) and Crocuta crocuta (hyena) and Syncerus caffer (buffalo). Roan antelope horn used for protection of children against witchcraft power and to acquire spiritual power and authourity, cane rat skin and intestine used for treatment of skin diseases in children, aardvark used for cure of leprosy at the earlier stage, green monkey skull used for cure of stomach upset for children, warthog bone used for curing teething cure in children, red river hog bone used for cure of skin diseases, warthog tusk used for cure for teething problem in children, hyena used for spiritual protection, buffalo bone and skin used for protection from witchcraft, python teeth used for cure of stomach upset, bush buck bone used for strong bones in children and strength and water buck used for talisman (Table 6).

Table 3: The medicinal uses of Wildlife in Cross River National Park (CRNP)

Animal	Scientific Name	Family	Part Used	Medicinal Uses	
Elephant	Loxodonta africana	Elephantidae	Bone	Anti-convulsion; Charms for strength	
			Droppings	Cure for cancer ; Treatment for pile; Treatment	
				of Hemorrhage	
Python	Python sebae	Boidae	Fat	Treatment of broken bones; Cure of	
				rheumatism	
			Bile	Treatment of ulcer and cough	
Chimpanzee	Pan troglodytes	Hominidae	Skin	Prevent miscarriage in women	
			Bones	Protection from witchcraft in children	
Monitor lizard	Veranus niloticus	Varanidae	Liver	Treatment of cough	
Porcupine	Atherurus africanus	Erethizontidae	Bile	Treatment of Ulcer	
Chameleon		Chamaeleonidae	Meat	Treatment of chest pain and cough	
Tortoise	Kinixys belliana	Testudinidae	Shell	Treatment of Pile	
Red flanked duiker	Cephalaphus rufilatus	Bovidae	Bone	Treatment of crippleness in children	
			Hair	Treatment/ prevention of Tetanus	

The result also shows that some animals were widely used for the same purposes around the parks, for example python fat is used by all the villages around the 4 Parks as cure for rheumatism, while python fat were also used for treatment for fracture and dislocation in CRNP and GGNP. Also lion bone is used for strength and power while lion fat is used for treatment of dislocation and fracture in GGNP and KLNP. Chimpanzee skin is used for prevention of miscarriage in women, while the bone is used for protection from witchcraft in children in CRNP and OONP.

Animal	Scientific Name	Family	Part Used	Medicinal Uses
Python	Python sebae	Boidae	Fat	Cure for
				Rheumatism;Dislocation and
				fracture;Treatment for cold and
				Pneumonia;Swelling skins
			Bone	Strong bones and healthy growth
			Skin	Cure of bedwetting in children; Treatment of bad dreams
Buffalo	Syncerus caffer	Bovidae	Ear	Cure for ear ache
Hyaena	Crocuta crocuta	Felidae	Skin	Cure children's bedwetting
			All flesh	Dispels evil spirit
Lion	Panthera leo	Felidae	Fat	Dislocation and fracture
			Bone	For strength
			Fat	Skin treatment
			Skin	For spiritual power
Bush fowl	Francolinus bicalcaratus	Phansianidae	Leg	Cure of ear problem
Duiker	Cephalaphus rufilatus	Bovidae	Skin	For spiritual protection
			Gall	Cure of Pile
			bladder	
Crocodile	Crocodilus niloticus	Alligatoridae	Teeth	Protection from polio
Civet cat	Viverra civetta	Viverridae	Anus	To treat spells
Chimpanzee	Pan troglodytes	Hominidae	Bones	Physical strength; Healing of
				fracture and dislocation
Hippopotamus	Hippopotamus amphibius	Hippopotamidae	Foot	Cure for body irritation
			Sole of foot	Cure of cancer
			Fat	Treatment of dislocation
Monitor Lizard	Veranus niloticus	Varanidae	Tail	Cure of epilepsy
Straw colored	Eidolon helvum	Pteropodidae	Eyes	Cure of Blindness
Bat				
Nile rat	Arvicanthis niloticus	Muridae	Whole body	For smartness in children
Mouse	Myomys daltoni	Muridae	Any Part	Cure of swelling sickness
Elephant	Loxodonta africana	Elephantidae	Meat	Treatment of general pain
Lizard	Agama agama	Agamidae	Meat	Whooping coughs
Water buck	Kobus defassa	Bovidae	Intestine/S	Safe delivery in child birth
			kin	
Patas monkey	Erythrocebus patas	Cercopithecidae	Skull	Cure of headache
Hawk eagle	Hieranetus spilogaster	Accipitridae	Feather	Dispels evil spirit
Tortoise	Kinixys belliana	Testudinidae	Shell	To ease labour of child birth
			Anus	Cure of menstrual pain

Table 4: The medicinal uses of Wildlife in Gashaka-Gumti National Park (GGNP)

Animal	Scientific Name	Family	Part Used	Medicinal Uses
Hippopotamus	Hippopotamus amphibius	Hippopotamidae	Faeces	Make children walk
			Fat	Cure sickness
			Bone	Cure to delayed child birth
Lion	Panthera leo	Felidae	Fat	Cure sterility in woman; Fracture and dislocation
			Heart	For increased strength
			Bone	Cure of madness
			Fat, Bone	Cure of rheumatism
Hare	Lepus capensis	Leporidae	Head	Cure for difficult breathing
			Ears, limbs	Cure of fever
			Ear,	Treatment of convulsion
			Urine/faeces	
Aardvark	Orycteropus after	Orycteropodidae	Meat	Prolonged child birth
			Urine	Cure of ear problem
Guinea fowl	Numidia meleagris	Numidadae	Body Parts	Cure delayed child birth
Monitor lizard	Varanus niloticus	Varanidae	Skin	Treatment of Measles
			Fat	Removal of skin trapped thorn
Mongoose	Crossarchus obscurus	Herpestidae	Anus	Drives evil /evil spirits away
Grey horn bill	Tockus nasatus	Bucerotidae	Bones	For curing sickness For curing sickness
Python	Python sebae	Boidae	Fat	Cure of rheumatism
			Oil/ fat	For treatment of wounds; Treating of back/body pains
Ground squirrel	Xerus erythropus	Scuridae	Tail	For spiritual protection
Roan Antelope	Hippotragus equinus	Bovidae	Meat	For healthiness
Elephant	Loxodonta africana	Elephantidae	Skin	Curing of wound
			Meat	Stomach pain
			Faeces	Treatment of headache
Red flanked duiker	Cephalaphus rufilatus	Bovidae	Kidney	Ear problem
Lizard	Agama agama	Agamidae	Meat	For cough
Monitor lizard	Veranus niloticus	Varanidae	Fat	Treating of back/body pains
African giant Snail	Achatina achatina	Mollusa	Fluid	Treatment of ear problem
Giant rat	Cricetomys gambianus	Nesomyidae	Tail	Spiritual authority/command
Viper	Bitis gaboonica	Viperidae	Fat	Treatment of fracture
Baboon	Papio anubis	Cercopithecidae	Brain	Treatment Scorpion sting
Crocodile	Crocodilus niloticus	Alligatoridae	Faeces	For spiritual protection
			Fat	Treatment of dislocation
Leopard	Pathera pardus	Felidae	Eyes	Treatment of eye problem
Guinea fowl	Numidia meleagris	Numidadae	Fat	Treatment of dislocation
Porcupine	Atherurus africanus	Erethizontidae	Intestine	Treatment of stomach pain

Table 5: The medicinal uses of Wildlife in Kainji Lake National Park (KLNP)

Osunsina I.O.O., Inah E.I., Onadeko S.A., Adegbite D.A., Ogunjinmi A.A. and Jayeola O.A.,/For. & For. Prod. J, 5:7-18

Animal	Scientific Name	Family	Part Used	Medicinal Uses
Hunting dog	Lycaon pictus	Canidae	Bone	Prevent feeling of nostalgia in children; Cure of dizziness
Roan Antelope	Hippotragus equinus	Bovidae	Bone	Protection from witchcraft in children
rimerope			Horn	For spiritual power and authority
Aardvark	Orycteropus after	Orycteropodida e	Tail	For spiritual power and authority
			Paws	Cure of leprosy at the earlier stage
Green monkey	Cercopithecus aethiops	Cercopithecidae	Skull	Cure of stomach upset for children; For protection from witchcraft
Red river hog	Potamochoerus porcus	Suidae	Bone	Cure teething problem in children; Cure of skin diseases
Chimpanzee	Pan troglodytes	Hominidae	Skin	Prevents miscarriage in women
			Bones	Protection from witchcraft in children
Flying squirrel	Anomalurus sp	Scuridae	Tail	Prevents of miscarriage in women
Warthog	Phacochoerus aethiopicus	Suidae	Tusk	Cure for teething problem in children
Lion	Panthera leo	Felidae	Bones	For strength and power; Immunity against diseases in children
Elephant	Loxodonta africana	Elephantidae	Faeces	Healings for diseases
			Skin	Protection from dangers
			Bone	Charms for becoming invisible
Leopard	Panthera pardus	Felidae	Skin	Strength for physical combat; Protection from witchcraft; To command respect and honour
Python	Python sebae	Boidae	Teeth	Burnt teeth for cure of stomach upset
Hyaena	Crocuta crocuta	Felidae	Skin	For spiritual protection
Buffalo	Syncerus caffer	Bovidae	Bone	Protection from witchcraft
			Skin	For protection from witchcraft
Bush buck	Tregalaphus scriptus	Bovidae	Bone	Strong bones/ strength in children; Cure of rheumatism
			Horn	Spiritual authority/power/command
Water buck	Kobus defassa	Bovidae	Skin	For talisman
Heart beast	Acelaphus buselaphus	Bovidae	Skin	For quick fortune
Mongoose	Crossarchus obscurus	Herpestidae	Nose	Prevent nose bleeding
Guinea fowl	Numidia meleagris	Numidadae	Liver,skull Intestine	Mixture used for treatment of dizziness
Cane rat	Thryonomys swinderianus	Thryonomyidae	Skin intestine	Treatment of skin diseases in children

Table 6: The medicinal uses of Wildlife in Old Oyo National Parks (OONP)
---	-------

Forests and Forest Products Journal 5:7-18 © 2012, Forest and Forest Products Society

Discussion

Majority of the respondents were male in all the villages visited and their age group range were 21 - 55 years. This is the most active age segment of the human population. This agrees with the findings of Saidu (2006), Oyinloye (2007) and Okeyoyin (2009) in KLNP. In terms of religious affiliation, majority of the respondents from CRNP and OONP were Christians, while respondents from GGNP and KLNP were predominantly moslems. This could be linked to the geographical locations of the parks. Indepth analysis of the educational status of the respondents revealed that almost half of the respondents in each park were illiterate except in CRNP where there was fairly higher number of respondents who attended secondary and tertiary education 25.58% and 6.29% respectively. Adekunle (1998) stated that vicious cycle of poverty increases with rising level of illiteracy The more enlightened the people, the higher the tendency to conserve the animals.

The uses of wild animal for medicinal have been reported by several purposes researchers (Adeola, 1992; Onadeko et al., 1989). Wildlife species were used in traditional medicine around the parks. Different parts of the animals were used for traditional medicine. Major diseases such as epilepsy, cancer, convulsion, paralysis, snake bites, mental illness, and even other ailments having hereditary origins are now being cured by traditional medicine (Odu, 1987). Python fat is one of the most highly priced wild animal products which is usually used for treatment of fracture, rheumatism and other muscular ailments. Wildlife provides some important components of traditional medicine and their flesh is credited with medicinal properties (Chardonnet et al., 2002). The use of Lion's bone in OONP environs is believed to provide strength and power for children. Lion's bones in Burkina Faso was also used to strengthen the bones of children and cane rat used in curing skin diseases in children in OONP was also used in several other West African Countries (Chardonnet et al., 2002). Animal-based medicines have always played a significant role in the healing practices, magic, rituals and religions of societies all over the world (Angelletti et al., 1992; Rosner,

1992). In most of the villages around the Parks, wild animals were used for traditional medicine since their parts are credited with medicinal properties (Osunsina, 2010).

The wild animal parts were sold to traditional collectors by local hunters who in turn sell them to traditional healers and other interested individuals. In almost every major town or city in West Africa, market stalls can be found where parts of wild plants and animals are retailed for medicinal purposes (Ntiamoa – Baidu, 1997). This traditional non food utilization of wildlife encourages the depletion of animal population through poaching, since there are willing buyers and ready markets for the animal parts. The common dilemma facing all fauna species is the soaring demand for their body parts for use in medicinal products. (Gaski *et al.*, 1994; Soewu, 2008).

Regrettably, the demand created by traditional medicine is one of the causes of the overexploitation of the wild population of numerous animal species (Osunsina, 2010). The use of animals in popular medicine certainly provokes pressure on natural resources exploited through traditional forms of collection, mainly due to general acceptance of popular medicine. Medically speaking, the one major negative consequence of this trend is that there will be essentially less choice for the future development of medicines because of the unsustainable use of the animal. The implication of the use of wild animals is that there would be hunting pressure on the population of these species of animals because of the demand for their parts for traditional medicine in some of the villages around the Parks (Osunsina, 2010). Also, reports of scarcity of species used for traditional medicine are being received with increasing frequency. Certain animals are already becoming rare due to indiscriminate killing for traditional medicinal preparations (Kakati and Duolo, 2002) and some might soon become extinct.

Conclusion

Despite their importance, studies on the therapeutic uses of animals and their body parts have been neglected, when compared to plants. Scholarly investigation of studies on medicinal uses of animals and their products should not be

neglected and should be considered as an important complementary body of knowledge. The extensive practice of traditional medicine in developing countries and the rapidly growing demand for alternative and basic therapeutic means (also in industrialized countries) constitute the international relevancy of research and development in the field of traditional drugs. The use of animals for medicinal purposes is part of a body of traditional knowledge which is increasingly becoming more relevant to discussions on conservation biology, public health policies, and sustainable management of natural resources, biological prospecting and patents. It is important to consider that human health is dependent on biodiversity and on the natural functioning of healthy ecosystems. Also care must be taken to adequately promote the sustainable use of these wild animals so that the species will not be driven to the point of extinction due to over exploitation.

References

- Adekunle, M. F. (1998). Survey of Non timber forest products (NTFP's) and their uses in Ogun State, Nigeria. A case study of Omo Forest Reserve. Unpublished Masters of Forestry Dissertation, Department of Forestry and Wildlife Management, University of Agriculture, Abeokuta. 172 pp.
- Adeola M. O. (1992): Importance of wild Animals and their parts in the culture, religious festivals, and traditional medicine, of Nigeria. *Environmental Conservation* 1992, 19(2):125-134.
- Ajayi S A (1973): Wildlife Management in the National Economy Nigeria, Pg26-30. *Nigerian Journal of Forestry* 3 (1): 26-30.
- Alves I. L. and Rosa R.R.N, (2005): Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine 1-5.
- Angelletti L.R, Agrinni C.C, French D, Mariani-Contantini R. (1992). Healing ritual and sacred serpents. Lancet 340: 223-225.
- Bai Qingyu. (1988): Farming of Medicinal Wildlife. China Forestry Press. Bejijing. 585 pp.
- Chardonnet P. H., Desclers B., Fischer J., Gerhold R., Jori F. and Lamarque F. (2002).

The value of wildlife: Socio-cultural signiuficance of Wildlife. Rev. Sci, Tech, Off. Int. Eqpiz. 21 (1), 15 - 51.

- Costa-Neto E.M. (2005): Animal-based medicines: biological prospection and the sustainable use of zootherapeutic resources. An Acad Bras Cienc **77**(1):33-43.
- Day, C. (1998). Traditional plants treatments for diabetes mellitus: pharmaceutical foods. Brit. J. Nutr. 1998; 80:5-6.
- Gaski, L. A, Andrea J, Kurt A. (1994). Prescription for extinction: Endangered Species and Patented Oriental Medicines in Trade. TRAFFIC USA, 300 pp.
- Guo Yinfeng, Zou, Xueying, Chen Yan, Wang Di, and Wang Sung (1997): Sustainability of wildlife use in traditional Chinese medicine. Endangered Species Scientific Commission, PRC. Pp 1-3.
- Lev E. (2003): Traditional healing with animals (zoo-therapy): medieval to present-day Levantine practice. J. Ethno Pharmacol 86:107-118.
- Marques J.G.W. (1994). A fauna medicinal dos índios Kuna de San Blas (Panamá) e a hipótese da universalidade zooterápica [abstract]. Anais da 46a Reunião Anual da SBPC 324.
- Ntiamoa Baidu Y. (1997). Wildlife and food security in Africa FAO conservation Guide No. 33.
- Odu, M. O. (1987). The art of traditional healing in Nigeria, National Concord, July 17, P.5.
- Ogboh, F. C. (2010). Uses of Wildlife as Alternative Therapy: A Library Seminar Department of Forestry and Wildlife Management, University of Agriculture Abeokuta, Nigeria. Pp20.
- Okeyoyin O. A. 2009. Impact of livelihood activities of local communities on wildlife resources in Kanji Lake National Park, Nigeria. Unpublished Ph.D Thesis, University of Ibadan, Ibadan. 124 pp.
- Okujagu, T.F. (2005). Welcome address at the zonal Training for Traditional Medicine Practitioners, Port-Harcourt Nigeria. Natural Medicine Development Agency,

Federal Ministry of Science and Technology.

- Onadeko. S.A; Adegbola P.O Oladoyin E.O (1989): Consumption Utilization of wildlife in Oyo State. In: Okaeme A. N. (Ed) Proceedings of Biennal Conference of Ecological Society of Nigeria (1989), held in Forestry Research Institute of Nigeria, Ibadan Oyo State. pp. 223 – 235.
- Osunsina, I.O.O. (2010). Anthropomorphic Dimensions of Biodiversity Conservation in some Nigeria National Park, Nigeria. Unpublished Ph.D Thesis, Department of Forestry and Wildlife Management, University of Agriculture, Abeokuta. 369 pp.
- Oyinloye R. (2007). The Socio-economic activities of support zone communities and their effect on Kainji Lake National Park New Bussa. Unpublished HND Project: FederalColleg of Wildlife Management, New Bussa, Niger State.
- Kakati L.N. and DuoloV. (2002). Indigenous Knowledge System of Nagal and India. J. Hum Ecol. 13(6): 419 – 423.
- Rosner F. (1992). Pigeons as a remedy (segulah) for jaundice. New York State J. Med. 92(5): 189-192.
- Soewu D.A.(2008). Wild animals in ethnozoological practices among the Yorubas of Southwestern Nigeria and the implications for biodiversity conservation. African Journal of Agricultural Research Vol.3 (6), Pp.421-427
- Song Y. (Ed.). (1994): An Ever-developing Traditional Chinese Medicine Industry. China Traditional Chinese Medicine Press. Beijing.
- Still J (2003): Use of animal products in traditional Chinese medicine: environmental impact and health hazards. *Complement Ther Med* 2003, 11:118-122.
- UNEP and WCMC (2003). United Nations Environmental Programme – World Conservation and Monitoring Centre IUCN Management Category II (National Park) www.unepwcmc.org/sites/pa/0302p.htm