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#### Abstract

Plantation establishment of fast-growing species such as Gmelina arborea has been suggested as a quick fix to the perennial problem, especially in Omo Forest Reserve. Management models have been identified as tools for sustainable management and monitoring of Gmelina plantation. Hence, silvicultural management models were developed for sustainable management of Gmelina plantation in Omo Forest Reserve. Nine Gmelina arborea age series ( $34,32,30,26,24,22,20,18$ and 16 years old) stands were purposively selected in Area J4 of Omo forest reserve. A total of Sixty-five temporary sample plots were demarcated proportionate to size across the age series. In each plot, diameter at breast height and stem height were measured to estimate stand basal area and volume. Silvicultural management models were developed to estimate the optimum rotation volume, area and number of stock to harvest using the linear programme option of the R package to obtain the solutions of the planning model for 20 years at 5 years period. It was observed that the mean tree dbh and stem height ranges from 21.50 to 70.61 cm and 16.08 to 24.98 m respectively. The mean basal area and stem volume had the respective values which range from 33.31 to $413.78 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{ha}$ and 423.19 to $8413.52 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$. At the individual tree level, mean annual growth is attained at 27 years. This represents the optimal rotation age of any Gmelina arborea stand in Omo forest reserve. If selective logging is applied for timber purpose, a rotation age of 27 years will be the appropriate rotation age. However, if clear-felling is opted for, the appropriate rotation age will be 25 years. The logging plan that optimizes volume in terms of area cut in each stand and period revealed that in 16 years old stands, whole logging will take place only during the last period of the plan, removing 5.00 ha . The respective area to be cut during the $1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }}, 3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ periods are $451.70 \mathrm{ha}, 517.50 \mathrm{ha}, 250.80 \mathrm{ha}$ and 140.00 ha . The harvesting schedules obtained in this study have shown that the management planning model provides rational and practicable results.
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## Introduction

Nigeria is well endowed with forest resources, accounting for about 2.5 percent of the Gross Domestic Products (FAO, 2010). Forest Resources Assessment (2010) reported that these resources provide employment for over 2 million people through the supply of fuelwood and poles and more than 80,000 people working in the $\log$ processing industries, especially in the forest zones of the south. The resources abound in the High Forests, woodlands, bushlands, plantations and trees on farmlands. The forests occupy about 10 million hectares representing almost 10 percent of the total land area of 92,377 hectares. This total is made up of about 445 gazetted reserves, distributed over the five main ecological zones of Freshwater/mangrove, the lowland rainforest, the derived savanna and the
sahel/sudan savanna (FAO, 2021). More than 5 percent of the total land area is devoted to wildlife conservation which is also distributed across the major ecological zones. The forests provide a wide range of non-wood products and environmental functions, though not adequately quantified and are under-estimated in national accounting. These products include bush meat, medicine, watershed protection, stabilization of the hydrological regimes and carbon sequestration. The forest estates from which wood and other products are obtained have been subjected to severe encroachments, vegetation degradation and dereservation for agriculture, industrial development, urbanization etc (FAO, 2021).

Plantation forests have often been considered as a "quick fix" solution to the perennial problems of
overexploitation of the natural forest resources. Plantation forestry in Nigeria started in the early 1900s (Ajayi, 2013). Large-scale plantation forestry in Nigeria was preceded by many successful species and provenance trials, mainly of exotic species. FAO (2011) reported that the annual planting rate is about 58,000 ha.

Gmelina arborea is a fast-growing deciduous tree occurring naturally in India, Thailand, Cambodia and southern provinces of China but planted extensively in Nigeria, Sierra Leone and Malaysia (Ajayi, 2013). It is commonly planted as avenue trees, in gardens and villages along with agricultural land, on village community lands and on wastelands. It is light-demanding, tolerant of excessive drought but moderately frost hardy and has a good capacity to recover in case of frost injury (Duke, 1983). In Nigeria, large investments in Gmelina arborea plantations have been made particularly to provide raw materials for pulp and paper mills (Ajayi et al., 2004). The species is now being converted for timber production as a result of the failure of the mills to utilize them (Adetogun and Omole, 2007). These plantations have outgrown the pulpwood production rotation of 8 years (Akachuku 1981; Evans, 1992).

## Methodology

The study was carried out in the plantation section (Area J4) of Omo Forest Reserve (Fig 1). It is situated between latitudes $6^{\circ} 42^{\prime}$ and $7^{\circ} 00^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ and longitude $4^{\circ} 17^{\prime}$ and $4^{\circ} 35^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$. The reserve shares its northern boundary with Osun and Ago Owu forest reserves in Osun State and Oluwa forest reserves in Ondo State. The Omo and Oni rivers mark the southern boundary. The reserve had a total area of approximately 130,550 ha with 65 km of enclaves. Major communities present include: Aberu, Abititun, Oloji, Osoko, and Ajebamidele, The topography of the reserve is generally gently undulating with an average elevation of 12 m above sea level (Akindele and Abayomi, 1993). The main study area is generally low-lying. It is neither lower than 20 m nor higher than 61 m , maintaining a landform, which can be regarded as flat to undulating (Chijioke, 1988). It has a mean annual temperature of $26.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a minimum of $19.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a maximum of $32.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ reported for rainy season and dry seasons respectively (Ige, 2017).

Furthermore, silvicultural treatments have been limited; leaving stands untended.

Sustainable management of forest resources requires a large amount of supporting information. Especially when managing a forest for the production of commercially valuable materials, estimation of present growth of variables, which are not possible to measure easily (such as timber volume), and to estimate the growth values in the future are essential. Vanclay (1994) defined stand growth models as abstractions of the natural dynamics of a forest stand, which may encompass growth, mortality and other changes in stand composition and structure. Therefore, forest models can be used as very successful research and management tools. The models designed for research require much complicated and not readily available data, whereas the models designed for management use simpler and more readily accessible data (Johnsen et al., 2001). The process of developing a growth model may offer interesting new insights into stand dynamics such as stand growth competition models. Hence, management model was developed for sustainable harvest of G. arborea in Area J4, Omo forest reserve, Nigeria.


Fig 1: Map of Omo Forest Reserve showing the study area (Area J4)

## Gmelina arborea Plantation in Omo Forest Reserve

Omo forest reserve happens to be one of the notable sites in South Western Nigeria where plantations of Gmelina arborea were established. Plantation efforts for this species started in 1966. An annual planting target of 500 hectares was planted to serve
as a steady source of raw materials for Iwopin Pulp and Paper Mill, located 500 km from the reserve. In 1972, the former Western State Government, the original owner of the forest, secured financial assistance from the Federal Government. The purpose was to increase the annual planting target of Gmelina and to enhance the maintenance and management of existing plantations that were established through direct labour and Taungya system which took place between 1969 and 1979. In 1989, a loan was secured from the African Development Bank (ADB) for the establishment of more plantations of Gmelina at an annual planting target of 1000ha out of which 100ha should be
plantations of indigenous species. Since then, there is a continual plantation establishment of Gmelina by Ogun State Government through Ogun State Forestry Plantation Project Area J4.

## Data Collection <br> Plot Sampling

Temporary Sample Plots (TSP) were demarcated using the proportionate size in a simple random sampling technique in each of the available age stand (Table 1). Each TSP were $20 \mathrm{~m} \times 20 \mathrm{~m}$ (i.e 0.04 ) in size. Table 1 below shows the distribution of sample plots used in each age stand

Table 1: Stands selected for the study

| Age (Years) | Area planted (ha) | Number of sample plots |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 34 | 1600.00 | 10 |
| 32 | 1230.00 | 10 |
| 30 | 1000.00 | 10 |
| 26 | 330.00 | 5 |
| 24 | 78.70 | 5 |
| 22 | 1360.00 | 10 |
| 20 | 33.00 | 5 |
| 18 | 103.00 | 5 |
| 16 | 5.00 | 5 |
| Total |  | 65 |

## Measurement of Tree Variables

The following tree variables were measured in each TSP:

- Diameter at breast height (dbh) over bark of all trees (cm). This is measured at a standard position of 1.3 m above the ground.
- Diameter over bark at the base (db), middle (dm) and top (dt) of all the trees (cm).
- Total height (Ht) of all the trees (m)
- Merchantable height (Hm) of all the trees (m)


## Data Analysis

Basal area estimation
The Basal Area (BA) of individual trees was estimated using the formula according to Husch et $a l$, (2003)
$\mathrm{BA}=\frac{\pi}{4} D^{2}$ $\qquad$ 1

Where $\mathrm{BA}=$ Basal area $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right), \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{dbh}(\mathrm{cm})$ and $\pi=$ 3.142 (constant)

In each plot, the total area of all the trees will be computed and use to estimate the basal area per hectare. This will be achieved by multiplying the plot basal area by 25 (being the number of 0.04 ha sample plots in an hectare). In addition, the annual tree basal area growth and stand basal area growth per hectare were obtained by dividing individual tree basal area and basal area per hectare by the corresponding plot age respectively.

## Stem Volume estimation

The volume of individual trees was estimated using Newton equation developed for trees volume estimation (Husch et al, 2003):
$\mathrm{V}=\pi H\left[\frac{D b^{2}+4 D m^{2}+D t^{2}}{24}\right]$
Where $\mathrm{V}=$ Total volume $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right), \mathrm{H}=$ height $(\mathrm{m}), \mathrm{Db}=$ Diameter at the base, $\mathrm{Dm}=$ Diameter at the middle, $\mathrm{Dt}=$ Diameter at the top and $\pi=3.142$ (constant) In each plot, the total stem volume of trees was computed and used to estimate the stem volume per
hectare ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ ). This was done by multiplying the plot stem volume by 25 . Also, annual stem volume growth for individual trees and on stand basis was computed by dividing tree volume and stem volume per hectare by the corresponding plot age.

## Site quality assessment

The linear regression model was fitted to the dominant height and age data in this study, as suggested by Schumacher (1939), is of the form:
$H_{d}=\exp ^{\left(\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} A^{-1}\right)}$ 3
Where: $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}=$ average height of dominant trees
A = stand age
$\beta_{0}=$ intercept value associated with each particular site index.
$\beta_{1}=$ slope with the same value for all site indices.
In this form, it is only possible to produce anamorphic site index equations. This implied that the site index curves to be generated will be a family of parallel lines with constant slope but varying intercepts. The equation for a particular site index line was obtained bearing in mind that such a line has the form:
$\ln H_{d}=b_{0}+b_{0} A^{-1} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots 4$
Where $\operatorname{lnHd}$ and A are as earlier defined while $\mathrm{b}_{0}$ and $b_{1}$ are sample estimates of $\beta_{0}$ and $\beta_{1}$, respectively. The equation was fitted to the dominant height-age data to obtain estimates of the regression parameters. By definition, when A equals the index age (i.e. 20 years for this study), Hd will be equal to site index (SI), so that:
$\mathrm{b}_{0}=\ln (\mathrm{SI})-\mathrm{b}_{1}\left(20^{-1}\right)$ 5

Note: $20^{-1}=0.05$
By substituting equation 5 into equation 4, the following equation was obtained:
$\ln \mathrm{Hd}=\ln (\mathrm{SI})-0.05 \mathrm{~b}_{1}+\mathrm{b}_{1} \mathrm{~A}^{-1}$ thus,
$\ln \mathrm{Hd}=\ln (\mathrm{SI})-\mathrm{b}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{-1}-0.05\right)$ 6
When SI is made the subject of equation 6 , site index equation obtained is:
$\ln (\mathrm{SI})=\ln \mathrm{Hd}-\mathrm{b}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{-1}-0.05\right)$
Therefore, SI $=\exp \left[\ln \mathrm{Hd}-\mathrm{b}_{1}\left(\mathrm{~A}^{-1}-0.05\right)\right] \ldots . .7$
With known values of age and dominant height, this equation can be used to estimate the site index value for each plot.

## Forest Management Planning Models Models for Determining Optimum Rotation Age

The biological model of optimal rotation age determination maximizes the mean annual growth
(MAG) of the stand. The mean annual growth is the total volume (at stand or tree level) divided by the age of the stand at that time. This is expressed mathematically as:
$M A G=Y / A$
MAG $=$ Mean Annual Growth ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$ or $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{yr}$ at tree level)
A = Stand or tree age (yrs) and $\mathrm{Y}=$ Yield or volume of wood at age A
Wood yield is maximized over perpetual rotations if the stands are cut at the age where MAG reaches a maximum. Avery and Burkhart (2002) have pointed out the mathematical relationship between growth and yield. To obtain the age of maximum mean annual growth, one divides the yield function by age, takes the first derivative with respect to age. Then, the result is equated to zero and solved for age. The yield function used is of the form:
$\ln Y=a+b A^{-1}$
The yield function and mean annual growth (MAG) can thus be written as:
$Y=e^{a+b A^{-1}}$.
$M A G=\left(e^{a+b A^{-2}}\right)\left(A^{-1}\right)$ 11

## Management Planning Models

The development of logging scheduling models in this study was achieved through linear programming model. The management needs considered in this study area are: the need for rational and organized forest utilization through sensible logging sequence that aims at sustained production of the best quality stem at a lesser possible time (i.e optimal management plan). Linear programming model formulation used (Leuschner, 1990) involves the following steps:

## i. Choice of decision variables

The decision variables that define the future logging sequence most simply are the areas cut from each initial compartment (or stand) in every period of the plan. A period of 20 years was chosen as the planning horizon for this study. To keep the number of variables relatively small, a sufficiently long lapse of time for each period was chosen. Thus we have four 5 - year operating periods. This led to the decision variables of the form: $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i} \text {. }}$.

Where $X_{i j}=$ area cut from stand $i$ in period $j$. There are 36 of such variables in this study, since the
study covered nine stands and there are four 5-year operating periods.
ii. Stating the objective function

The objective function of this study was based on optimizing volume. The objective function therefore, expresses the total volume of timber cut during the period of the plan (which is 20 years in this study) as a linear function of the decision variables. The object of the management planning model is to find the values of the decision variables such that the total amount of timber produced throughout the plan is optimum. The general form of this objective function is given as:
$\operatorname{Max} Z_{s v}=\sum_{i=1}^{m=9 p==} \sum_{i=1}^{p} V_{i j} X_{i j}$ 12
Where $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{sv}}=$ stem volume per hectare, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ expected volume per hectare in the stand I and period $\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ is as earlier defined.

## iii. Stating the Constraints

There are five kinds of constraints to be considered in this study for modeling. These are: The constraints that refer to the limited land availability, The constraints expressing the desired pattern (or policy) of production during the plan, The constraints subjecting the management alternatives to either area-control or volume control management, The constraints that refer to limited manpower available and the constraints that express limited finances available. However, the first three were built into the linear programming model (because they are compatible with the decision variables and the objective function). The last two served as useful guides for decision-making on the solution of the linear programming model.

## a. Land availability constraints

The land availability constraints state that the area of land that is cut in each stand (or compartment) cannot exceed the area available. These are mathematically expressed as:
$\sum_{j=1}^{p=q} X_{i, j} \leq a_{i}$
$\mathrm{i}=1,2,3,4, \ldots \ldots \ldots ., 9$ and $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ area (in hectare) of ith stand.
In the model formulated, an area that has been cut cannot be cut again before the end of the plan. This gives room for monitoring and evaluation of the output of the management plans. Evaluation results
at the end of the plan will enhance decision on whether the management plan needs revision or should be maintained.

## b. Time flow constraints

These constraints express the desired pattern of the flow of timber that is harvested during the plan. Timber flow that ensures a regular increase of $10 \%$ every 5 years was used in this study. Let $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}$ be the fraction by which the cut in j must exceed that in j 1 , then, the general expression of the flow constraint is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m=9} V_{i, j} X_{i, j}-\left(1+f_{j}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{m=9} V_{i, j-1} X_{i, j-1}=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$j=2,3$ and 4 . There are $p-1$ constraints of this kind. Where $\mathrm{p}=$ number of periods or intervals.

## c. Area control constraints

The area control system stipulates that the total area cut cannot exceed ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{r}$ ) A, where y is the length of the plan and $r$ is the rotation age (expressed in the same unit of time) and A is the entire area of the forest. In terms of the decision variables, this gives:
$\sum_{i=1}^{m 1} \sum_{i=1}^{p} X_{i, j} \leq y(A / r)$

## iv Use of appropriate software package

The linear programme option of R Development Core Team (2012) software package was used to obtain the solutions of the planning model.

## Results <br> General Growth Estimates

Table 2 provides important whole stand variables estimated for G. arborea in the study area. The number of tree stands per hectare ranges from 875 to 1000. Mean tree dbh and stem height range from 21.50 to 70.61 cm and 16.08 to 24.98 m respectively. The same trend was observed for mean basal area, stem volume and merchantable volume with the respective values which range from 33.31 to 413.78 $\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{ha}$, 423.19 to $8413.52 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ and 297.14 to $6375.21 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$. Meanwhile, basal area growth and volume range from 1.85 to $12.17 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$ and 23.51 to $247.46 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$ respectively while stem quality growth ranged respectively from 174.17 to 322.18 $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$. The trees' vigour was very high as values observed for the crown projection area ranges from 54466.80 to $151094.00 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{ha}$.

Meanwhile, the site index estimates for Gmelina stands in this study ranged from 11.62 to 28.70 m . The same trend was followed at the individual tree level (Table 3) as a number of stem per plot ranged from 35 to 40 while basal area and stem quality ranged from 0.15 to $0.42 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ and 11.12 to 18.09 m respectively. Stem basal area, volume and stem quality growth ranged from 0.002 to $0.017 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$, 0.027 to $0.250 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{yr}$ and 0.506 to $0.749 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{yr}$. These ranges indicate that Gmelina trees in this study area are growing well. Generally, the diameter distribution (Fig 2) shows that the diameter of the tree, which usually follows a normal distribution pattern, is basic and very useful means of characterizing an even-aged stand for all the age series considered in this study. The results of linear correlation coefficient between growth variables and other parameters at the whole and individual stand levels are presented in Tables 4 and 5 respectively. At the individual stand level, there were significant linear relationships between stand volume and the following variables: age, density, dbh, stem heights, basal area, basal area growth and stem quality. At the whole stand level, a notable significant correlation was observed between the stand volume and basal area growth, stem quality growth and site index.

## Model for Site Quality Estimation

Site index equation obtained in this study is presented as
$S I=\exp \left[\ln H_{d}-5.81\left(\mathrm{~A}^{-1}-0.05\right)\right] \ldots \ldots . .16$
$\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.8871, \mathrm{MSE}=0.0013\right)$
Where SI = Site index (m), $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}=$ Dominant height (m), A = Stand age (years), $\mathrm{R}^{2}=$ Coefficient of determination, MSE $=$ Mean square error.
This equation was used to obtain the site index values (Tables 2 and 3) for each plot used in the whole stand estimation. The site index estimates for the Gmelina stands in this study ranged from 11.6189 to 28.6951 m . These ranges indicate that Gmelina trees in Omo forest reserve are doing well.

## Silvicultural Management Planning Models

The silvicultural management recommended is an even age management, with clear-cutting, followed by coppice system. Provisions were made for interplanting where coppices fail. The managementplanning model for the sustainable management of Gmelina stands in Omo forest reserve is presented as follows:

Find $X_{1,1}, X_{1,2}, . ., X_{9,4}$, all non-negative, such that:
Max $Z_{\mathrm{sv}}=13491.85 \mathrm{X}_{1,1}+18115.82 \mathrm{X}_{1,2}+$ $22904.71 \mathrm{X}_{1,3}+27728.57 \mathrm{X}_{1,4}+11727.12 \mathrm{X}_{2,1}+$ $16237.54 \mathrm{X}_{2,2}+20978.72 \mathrm{X}_{2,3}+25801.32 \mathrm{X}_{2,4}+$ $10031.22 \mathrm{X}_{3,1}+14395.56 \mathrm{X}_{3,2}+$ 19065.24X $_{3,3}+$ $23870.05 \mathrm{X}_{3,4}+6909.05 \mathrm{X}_{4,1}+10869.63 \mathrm{X}_{4,2}+$ $15311.30 \mathrm{X}_{4,3}+20019.91 \mathrm{X}_{4,4}+5516.94 \mathrm{X}_{5,1}+$ 9213.87X $\mathrm{X}_{5,2}+13491.85 \mathrm{X}_{5,3}+18115.82 \mathrm{X}_{5,4}+$ $4260.91 X_{6,1}+7650.63 \mathrm{X}_{6,2}+11727.12 \mathrm{X}_{6,3}+$ $16237.54 \mathrm{X}_{6,4}+3157.58 \mathrm{X}_{7,1}+6197.08 \mathrm{X}_{7,2}+$ $10031.22 \mathrm{X}_{7,3}+14395.56 \mathrm{X}_{7,4}+2221.12 \mathrm{X}_{8,1}+$ $4870.84 \mathrm{X}_{8,2}+8419.63 \mathrm{X}_{8,3}+12601 \mathrm{X}_{8,4}+$ $1461.13 \mathrm{X}_{9,1}+3689.19 \mathrm{X}_{9,2}+6909.05 \mathrm{X}_{9,3}+$ 10869.63X9,4 .................................... 17
(Note: The coefficients of equation 17 are the expected volumes at 5 -years time steps presented in Table 2).
Subject to:

## Land availability:

$X_{1,1}+X_{1,2}+X_{1,3}+X_{1,4} \leq 1600.00 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . .18$
$X_{2,1}+X_{2,2}+X_{2,3}+X_{2,4} \leq 1230.00 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . .19$
$X_{3,1}+X_{3,2}+X_{3,3}+X_{3,4} \leq 1000.00 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . .20$
$X_{4,1}+X_{4,2}+X_{4,3}+X_{4,4} \leq 330.00 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . . . . .21$
$X_{5,1}+X_{5,2}+X_{5,3}+X_{5,4} \leq 78.70 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . .22$
$X_{6,1}+X_{6,2}+X_{6,3}+X_{6,4} \leq 1360.00 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . .23$
$\mathrm{X}_{7,1}+\mathrm{X}_{7,2}+\mathrm{X}_{7,3}+\mathrm{X}_{7,4} \leq 33.00 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . .24$
$\mathrm{X}_{8,1}+\mathrm{X}_{8,2}+\mathrm{X}_{8,3}+\mathrm{X}_{8,4} \leq 103.00 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . .25$
$X_{9,1}+X_{9,2}+X_{9,3}+X_{9,4} \leq 5.00 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . \ldots . .$.
Timber flow:
$18115.82 \mathrm{X}_{1,2}+16237.54 \mathrm{X}_{2,2}+14395.56 \mathrm{X}_{3,2}+$ $10869.63 \mathrm{X}_{4,2}+9213.87 \mathrm{X}_{5,2}+7650.63 \mathrm{X}_{6,2}+$ $6197.08 \mathrm{X}_{7,2}+4870.84 \mathrm{X}_{8,2}+3689.19 \mathrm{X}_{9,2}-$ $14841.04 X_{1,1}-12899.83 X_{2,1}-11034.34 X_{3,1}-$ $7599.96 X_{4,1}-6068.63 X_{5,1}-4687.00 X_{6,1}-$ $3473.34 X_{7,1}-2443.23 X_{8,1}-1607.24 X_{9,1}=0 . .27$
$22904.71 \mathrm{X}_{1,3}+20978.72 \mathrm{X}_{2,3}+19065.24 \mathrm{X}_{3,3}+$ $15311.30 \mathrm{X}_{4,3}+13491.85 \mathrm{X}_{5,3}+11727.12 \mathrm{X}_{6,3}+$ $10031.22 \mathrm{X}_{7,3}+8419.63 \mathrm{X}_{8,3}+6909.05 \mathrm{X}_{9,3}-$ 19927.40 $X_{1,2}-17861.29 \mathrm{X}_{2,2}-15835.12 \mathrm{X}_{3,2}-$ $11956.59 \mathrm{X}_{4,2}-10135.26 \mathrm{X}_{5,2}-8415.69 \mathrm{X}_{6,2}-$ $6816.79 \mathrm{X}_{7,2}-5357.92 \mathrm{X}_{8,2}-4058.11 \mathrm{X}_{9,2}=0 \ldots .28$

```
27728.57X (1,4 + 25801.32X 2,4 + 23870.05\ X,4 +
20019.91每,4 + 18115.82X 
14395.56X }\mp@subsup{\}{7,4}{}+12601\mp@subsup{X}{8,4}{}+10869.63\mp@subsup{X}{9,4}{}
25195.18X1,3 - 23076.59X2,3 - 20971.76X X,3 -
16842.43X4,3 - 14841.04X (%,3 - 12899.83XX,3 -
11034.34XX,3 - 9261.59XX,3 - 7599.96XX,3 = 0 .... 29
```


## Area control:

$\mathrm{X}_{1,1}+\mathrm{X}_{1,2}+\mathrm{X}_{1,3}+\mathrm{X}_{1,4}+\mathrm{X}_{2,1}+\mathrm{X}_{2,2}+\mathrm{X}_{2,3}+\mathrm{X}_{2,4}+$ $X_{3,1}+X_{3,2}+X_{3,3}+X_{3,4}+X_{4,1}+X_{4,2}+X_{4,3}+X_{4,4}+$ $X_{5,1}+X_{5,2}+X_{5,3}+X_{5,4}+X_{6,1}+X_{6,2}+X_{6,3}+X_{6,4}+$ $X_{7,1}+X_{7,2}+X_{7,3}+X_{7,4}+X_{8,1}+X_{8,2}+X_{8,3}+X_{8,4}+$ $X_{9,1}+X_{9,2}+X_{9,3}+X_{9,4} \leq 5716.97$ 30

## Optimal Management Plan for Gmelina Stands in Omo Forest Reserve

## Discussion

A forest is considered healthy when its growth variables are in the expected range of meeting management objectives. All the growth variables considered in this study were of the expected range at a whole stand and individual tree levels (Tables 2 and 3). The tree dbh trend follows the trend often obtained for tropical plantation species (Figure 2). The tree's dbh is highly correlated with the plantation age, no of stem, basal area and the stem volume (Tables 4 and 5). According to Husch et al (2003), basal area per hectare is computed from the number of trees per hectare and the dbh of the standing trees.
Hence, timber production appears to be the feasible alternative management objective for the existing Gmelina plantations in the study area. The plantations selected for this study are older than 12 years which are no longer suitable for pulp production due to lower pulp yield, higher lignin content and shorter fibre length of old Gmelina trees (Yuchang, et al; 1995). In addition, there is no indication that the mill meant to utilize the Gmelina resources will function in the nearest future and the market for Gmelina timber is emerging in Nigeria (Onyekwelu, 2001). The result of site quality estimation model also confirms the suitability of the study area for optimum growth and yield of Gmelina. Clutter et al. (1983) noted that ferruginous tropical soils, which are found in this study area, are among the most fertile soils in southwestern Nigeria. The high growth rate of Gmelina can also be attributed to the fact that the extremes of the temperature of $31.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $21.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and annual rainfall of 1257 mm are required by the species for optimum growth (Lamb, 1968) is adequately met in the study area. Hence, the trends shown in these ranges correspond to the common trend often reported in tropical plantation growth studies (Abayomi, 1986; Adesoye, 2002; Onyekwelu, et al., 2006).

The final solution of the linear programming model for stem volume optimization is presented in Tables 6, 7 and 8. The result in Table 6 expresses the area cut in each stand by period. Table 7 reveals the volume of Gmelina to be harvested in each stand by period while Table 8 shows the number of stems to be harvested in each stand by period. Figure 3 shows the area that must be cut in each stand and period that will lead to the optimal volume.

A timber rotation period is simply the time between the establishment of a stand of trees and when that same stand is ready for a final cut. This period of years often called the "optimum" rotation period is especially important when forest managers try to determine the most advantageous harvest condition in an even-aged stand of trees. When a stand is either economically mature or reaching beyond natural maturity, the "rotation period" has been reached and a final harvest can be planned. Most past studies (e.g. Adegbehin, 1985; Onyekwelu, 1995; Onyekwelu, 2003) have based the estimation of optimum rotation age through mean annual growth on stand statistics without consideration of the tree level implications. But Adesoye (2002) explores both the tree level and stand-level estimations of the rotation age for Nauclea diderrichii.
Hence this study assessed the optimal rotation age for this tree species on nine age series presently available in the study area at both individual and whole stand levels. The models developed in this study were found very suitable. At the individual tree level, the results reveal that mean annual growth is attained at approximately 27 years. This represents the optimal rotation age of any Gmelina arborea stands in Omo forest reserve. In some African countries (Nigeria, Ghana, and Sierra Leone), between 15 and 25 years of rotation age have been for Gmelina timber stands (FORMECU, 1999; Schneider 1997; Onyekwelu, 2003). Hence, this study still follows the same trend observed in the previous studies. At the whole stand level, the mean growth per hectare culminates at 25 years. It represents the optimum rotation age of the species at the stand level. The choice of 25 or 27 years will depend on the type of logging embarked upon. If selective logging is applied for timber purposes, a rotation age of 27 years will be the appropriate rotation age. Therefore, selective logging will imply a longer rotation age. However, if clear-felling is
opted for, the appropriate rotation age will be 25 years.
The management planning model developed and solved in this study is equation 17 (based on stem volume optimization). The model was also used to obtain harvest schedules (in terms of the area cut per period per stand) that optimize the stem volume of Gmelina throughout the period of the management plan (i.e. 20 years). Linear programming models obtained for management planning in this study were similar to Lappi (1992), Jonsson et al (1993), Adesoye (2002) and Millie et al (2010). The solutions of equations 17 to 30 are given in tables 6 to 8 . This is an improvement to linear programming solutions that merely specify area to be cut or volume to be cut which may be difficult to quantify during implementation. The solutions obtained in this study, therefore, provide tripartite fronts for practical implementation of the optimal management plan.
The logging plan (Fig 3) that optimizes volume in terms of area cut in each stand and period revealed that in 16 years old stands, whole logging will take place only during the last period of the plan, removing 5.00 ha. During the last period of the plan, the stand will be 36 years old. This solution is similar to that reported by Buongiorno and Gilless (1987), Adesoye (2002) and Millie et al (2010). They reported solutions that left the first age class (being younger) un-cut. The 24, 20 and 18 years old stands will be cut during the second, third and fourth periods. Meanwhile, 22 years old stand is the only stand that will be cut throughout the period of the plan. The respective area to be cut during the $1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }}$,

## Conclusion and Recommendation

The optimum rotation age determined in this study are useful in determining the actual time such plantation can be harvested without compromising the out-door uses (e.g. furniture making, roofing, etc) of the tree species. This will also ensure the maximum use and yield of the land/soil.
The management planning models are useful for establishing strategic logging goals with spatially feasible plan that can be implemented. The information on number of stems to be harvested ensures practical implementation. The logging
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Table 2: Summary of whole stand growth variables

| Age (yrs) | No of stem/ha | Mean dbh (cm) | Mean <br> Stem <br> height <br> (m) | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Mean BA } \\ \left(\mathbf{m}^{2} / \mathbf{h a}\right) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Mean SVol (m3/ha) | Mean MVol ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ ) | $\Delta d$ bh (cm/ yr) | $\triangle B A$ ( $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ / ha/yr) | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta S V o l\left(\mathrm{~m}^{3} /\right. \\ & \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}) \end{aligned}$ | $\Delta S Q$ <br> (m/ <br> ha/yr) | SI (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 | 1000 | 70.61 | 24.10 | 413.78 | 8413.52 | 6375.21 | 2.08 | 12.17 | 247.46 | 174.17 | 28.70 |
| 32 | 925 | 67.36 | 24.98 | 335.81 | 6200.62 | 4403.12 | 2.11 | 10.49 | 193.77 | 187.72 | 28.39 |
| 30 | 1000 | 64.51 | 22.73 | 333.29 | 6802.33 | 5030.71 | 2.15 | 11.11 | 226.74 | 219.94 | 20.38 |
| 26 | 975 | 61.51 | 21.57 | 263.38 | 4623.86 | 3224.52 | 2.37 | 10.13 | 177.84 | 241.87 | 27.23 |
| 24 | 975 | 58.46 | 21.52 | 296.05 | 5199.51 | 3622.05 | 2.44 | 12.34 | 216.65 | 267.08 | 26.72 |
| 22 | 950 | 41.64 | 22.06 | 138.19 | 1793.46 | 1791.86 | 1.89 | 6.28 | 81.52 | 268.68 | 26.14 |
| 20 | 975 | 22.71 | 17.72 | 41.21 | 549.87 | 349.08 | 1.14 | 2.06 | 27.49 | 322.18 | 20.00 |
| 18 | 875 | 22.88 | 16.60 | 33.31 | 423.19 | 297.14 | 1.27 | 1.85 | 23.51 | 235.47 | 11.62 |
| 16 | 900 | 21.50 | 16.08 | 38.40 | 535.64 | 387.22 | 1.34 | 2.40 | 33.48 | 256.41 | 13.95 |

$B A=$ Basal area, $d b h=$ Diameter at breast height, $S V o l=$ Stem volume, $M V$ Vol $=$ Merchantable volume, $S I=$ Site index, $\Delta S Q=$ Stem quality growth, $\Delta B A=$ Basal area growth, $\Delta d b h=$ diameter growth and $\Delta S V o l=$ Stem volume growth.

Table 3: Summary of individual tree growth variables

| Age <br> $(\mathbf{y r s})$ | No of <br> stem/plot | Mean <br> $\mathbf{d b h} \mathbf{c m})$ | Mean Stem <br> height $(\mathbf{m})$ | Mean <br> $\mathbf{B A}\left(\mathbf{m}^{2}\right)$ | Mean <br> $\mathbf{S V o l}\left(\mathbf{m}^{3}\right)$ | Mean MVol <br> $\left(\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\Delta d b h}$ <br> $(\mathbf{c m} / \mathbf{y r})$ | $\boldsymbol{\Delta B A}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{m}^{2} / \mathbf{y r}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{\Delta S V o l}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{3} / \mathbf{y r})}\right.$ | SQ <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | $\boldsymbol{\Delta S Q}$ <br> $(\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{y r})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 34 | 40 | 70.61 | 24.10 | 0.42 | 8.50 | 6.41 | 2.08 | 0.012 | 0.250 | 18.09 | 0.532 |
| 32 | 37 | 67.36 | 24.98 | 0.36 | 6.76 | 4.80 | 2.11 | 0.011 | 0.211 | 16.19 | 0.506 |
| 30 | 40 | 64.51 | 22.73 | 0.34 | 6.84 | 5.06 | 2.15 | 0.011 | 0.228 | 18.36 | 0.612 |
| 26 | 39 | 61.51 | 21.57 | 0.27 | 4.79 | 3.34 | 2.37 | 0.010 | 0.184 | 15.00 | 0.577 |
| 24 | 39 | 58.46 | 21.52 | 0.30 | 5.33 | 3.72 | 2.44 | 0.013 | 0.222 | 15.00 | 0.625 |
| 22 | 35 | 41.64 | 22.06 | 0.15 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.89 | 0.007 | 0.086 | 15.80 | 0.718 |
| 20 | 39 | 22.71 | 17.72 | 0.04 | 0.56 | 0.36 | 1.14 | 0.002 | 0.028 | 11.12 | 0.556 |
| 18 | 35 | 22.88 | 16.60 | 0.04 | 0.48 | 0.34 | 1.27 | 0.002 | 0.027 | 11.29 | 0.627 |
| 16 | 36 | 21.50 | 16.08 | 0.04 | 0.60 | 0.44 | 1.34 | 0.003 | 0.038 | 11.98 | 0.749 |

$\mathrm{BA}=\mathrm{Basal}$ area, $\mathrm{dbh}=$ Diameter at breast height, $\mathrm{SVol}=$ Stem volume, $\mathrm{MVol}=$ Merchantable volume, $\mathrm{SI}=$ Site index, $\mathrm{SQ}=\mathrm{Stem}$ quality, $\Delta \mathrm{SQ}=\mathrm{Stem}$ quality growth, $\Delta \mathrm{BA}=$ Basal area growth, $\Delta \mathrm{dbh}=$ diameter growth and $\Delta \mathrm{SVol}=\mathrm{Stem}$ volume growth.

Table 4: Correlation matrix for the whole stand growth variables

|  | Age | No/ha | dbh | Stem height | BA | SV | Mvol | USQ | SI | $\triangle \mathrm{BA}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{SV}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No/ha | 0.620 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| dbh | 0.935 | 0.634 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| height | 0.927 | 0.584 | 0.937 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BA | 0.948 | 0.653 | 0.986 | 0.912 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Svol | 0.954* | 0.656* | 0.964* | 0.876* | 0.993* | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mvol | 0.958 | 0.667* | 0.959 | 0.891 | 0.989 | 0.996 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| $\Delta S Q$ | -0.747* | -0.046 | -0.684* | -0.627 | -0.712* | 0.740* | -0.746* | 1 |  |  |  |
| SI | 0.746* | 0.646 | 0.813 | 0.878 | 0.774* | 0.709* | 0.718* | -0.323 | 1 |  |  |
| $\triangle$ BA | 0.856 | 0.669* | 0.974 | 0.883 | 0.970 | 0.941* | 0.933 | -0.583 | 0.803 | 1 |  |
| $\Delta \mathrm{TVol}$ | 0.895 | 0.683* | 0.974 | 0.863 | 0.987 | 0.978 | 0.968 | -0.643 | 0.739* | 0.987 | 1 |

Table 5: Correlation matrix for individual tree growth variables

|  | Age | No/plot | dbh | Stem height | BA | Svol | Mvol | $\Delta \mathrm{dbh}$ | $\triangle \mathrm{BA}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{SVol}$ | SQ | $\Delta S Q$ | SI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No/plot | 0.521 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| dbh | 0.932 | 0.561 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| height | 0.931 | 0.509 | 0.926 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BA | 0.938 | 0.542 | 0.992 | 0.916 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Svol | 0.943* | 0.540* | 0.975* | 0.878* | 0.992 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mvol | 0.948 | 0.553 | 0.979 | 0.908 | $0.993$ | 0.994* | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\Delta \mathrm{dbh}$ | 0.744 | 0.557 | 0.934 | 0.820 | 0.909 | $0.867$ | $0.874$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\triangle \mathrm{BA}$ | 0.820 | 0.577 | 0.959 | 0.866 | 0.962 | 0.935* | 0.939 | 0.972 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| $\Delta \mathrm{SVol}$ | 0.881 | 0.586 | 0.975 | 0.852 | 0.986 | 0.982 | 0.976 | 0.932 | 0.978 | 1 |  |  |  |
| SQ | 0.848 | 0.575 | 0.887 | 0.889 | 0.884 | 0.868* | 0.914 | 0.817 | 0.852 | 0.855 | 1 |  |  |
| $\Delta S Q$ | -0.648 | -0.290 | -0.476 | -0.462 | -0.482 | -0.509 | -0.458 | -0.246 | -0.324 | -0.430 | -0.166 | 1 |  |
| SI | 0.704 | 0.587 | 0.782 | 0.859 | 0.742 | 0.671 | 0.688 | 0.792 | 0.783 | 0.707 | 0.641 | -0.414 | 1 |

[^0]


Fig 2: Diameter size class distribution of G. arborea in the study area

Table 6: Logging Plan that Optimizes volume: Stock and area to be cut

| Stand | Area cut $($ ha) per period |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Period | $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ Period | $\mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }}$ Period | $\mathbf{4}^{\text {th }}$ Period | Total Hectares cut |
| 34 | Stock | 1600.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 32 | Cut | 1600.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1600.00 |
|  | Stock | 1230.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 30 | Cut | 1230.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1230.00 |
|  | Stock | 1000.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 26 | Cut | 1000.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000.00 |
|  | Stock | 330.00 | 25.00 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 24 | Cut | 305.00 | 25.00 | 0 | 0 | 330.00 |
|  | Stock | 78.70 | 78.70 | 72.90 | 51.30 |  |
| 22 | Cut | 0 | 5.80 | 21.60 | 51.30 | 78.70 |
|  | Stock | 1360.00 | 908.30 | 390.80 | 140.00 |  |
| 20 | Cut | 451.70 | 517.50 | 250.8 | 140.00 | 1360.00 |
|  | Stock | 33.00 | 30.65 | 28.30 | 22.50 |  |
| 18 | Cut | 0 | 2.35 | 5.80 | 18.92 | 27.07 |
|  | Stock | 103.00 | 103.00 | 100.50 | 50.50 |  |
| 16 | Cut | 0 | 2.50 | 50.00 | 33.70 | 86.20 |
|  | Stock | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |  |
| Total | Area | Cut | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5.00 |
| Cut |  | 4586.70 | 553.15 | 328.20 | 248.92 | 5716.00 |

Table 7: Logging Plan that Optimizes volume: Volume data

|  | Volume cut $\left(\mathbf{m}^{3}\right)$ Per Period |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stand | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Period | $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ Period | $\mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }}$ Period | $\mathbf{4}^{\text {th }}$ Period | Total Volume $\left(\mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $15,441,504.00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $15,441,504.00$ |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $8,818,472.70$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $8,818,472.70$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $7,935,900.00$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $7,935,900.00$ |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $1,681,477.20$ | $160,056.00$ | 0 | 0 | $1,841,533.20$ |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | 0 | $42,723.38$ | $182,505.96$ | $489,022.38$ | $714,251.72$ |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $994,209.77$ | $1,349,971.20$ | $756,473.00$ | $479,337.60$ | $3,579,991.57$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0 | $1,938.05$ | $5,580.47$ | $20,804.44$ | $28,322.96$ |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 0 | $1,645.70$ | $38,791.50$ | $30,106.91$ | $70,544.11$ |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $6,026.40$ | $6,026.40$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 4 , 8 7 1 , 5 6 3 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 5 6 , 3 3 4 . 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 8 3 , 3 5 0 . 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 2 5 , 2 9 7 . 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 , 4 3 6 , 5 4 6 . 6 6}$ |

Table 8: Logging Plan that Optimizes volume: Equivalent Number of Stems to be cut

|  | Number of Stems Cut Per Period |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stand | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Period | $\mathbf{2}^{\text {nd }}$ Period | $\mathbf{3}^{\text {rd }}$ Period | $\mathbf{4}^{\text {th }}$ Period | Total Stems |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $1,600,000$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1,600,000$ |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $1,137,750$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1,137,750$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | $1,000,000$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $1,000,000$ |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | 297,375 | 24,375 | 0 | 0 | 321,750 |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | 0 | 5,655 | 21,060 | 50,018 | 76,733 |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | 429,115 | 491,625 | 238,260 | 133,000 | $1,292,040$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 0 | 2,291 | 5,655 | 18,447 | 26,393 |
| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 0 | 2,188 | 43,750 | 29,488 | 75,426 |
| $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,500 | 4,500 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 , 4 6 4 , 2 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 6}, \mathbf{1 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 8}, 725$ | $\mathbf{2 3 5 , 4 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 5 3 4 , 5 5 2}$ |



Fig 3: Optimal management plan (volume optimization) for Gmelina stands


[^0]:    *Significantly different at $5 \%$ probability level.

